Discuss with author

What to do if you suspect a reviewer has appropriated an author's ideas or data YOU ARE VIEWING AN ARCHIVED VERSION OF THIS DOCUMENT View the latest version at https://doi.org/10.24318/cope.2019.2.5 Note The instruction to reviewers should state that submitted material must be Thank author and say you will investigate treated in confidence and may not be used If files are no longer in any way until it has available at journal, been published Retrieve files (submitted MS and reviews) request copy from author Options depend Anonymous review (reviewer's Open review (reviewer's on type of review identity is NOT disclosed to author) identity is disclosed to author) system used *Note Author accuses actual Author accuses somebody NB Do not forget If author produces who was not asked to review reviewer of misconduct people who refused published paper the article for your journal to review this may be handled as plagiarism Get as much documentary evidence as (see plagiarism possible from author and other sources, e.g. flow chart) publication*, abstract, report of meeting, copy Check for links between accused of slides, grant application: do not contact person and named reviewer, e.g. reviewer until you have assessed this same department, personal relationships Review evidence (or get suitably qualified Consider contacting actual person to do this) and decide whether author's allegations are well-founded reviewer(s) to comment on allegation and check they performed the review themselves/did not discuss the Appear well-founded Not well-founded paper with others Write to reviewer explaining Explain situation to author Discuss with concerns and requesting (decide whether you wish to author/request an explanation reveal actual reviewer(s) further evidence name(s): this is up to you, however if your journal uses No reply/ Satisfactory anonymous review you must unsatisfactory explanation get the reviewer's Developed for explanation permission before disclosing COPE by Liz Wager their identity to the author) of Sideview (www.lizwager.com) Reviewer Contact reviewer's institution © 2013 Committee Consider removing exonerated requesting an investigation reviewer from review First published 2006 database during investigation and inform Reviewer

found guilty

Keep author

informed

of progress

If no response,

keep contacting

institution every

3-6 months

on Publication Ethics

A non-exclusive licence to reproduce these flowcharts may be applied for by writing to: cope_administrator@ publicationethics.org

reviewer of you action

Remove reviewer

permanently from

database and consider

reporting case in journal